S.B.Cr.Misc. Bail Appli. No.4284/2007
(Bodhnath Singh vs. State of Rajasthan)

Date of order : September 14, 2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR,J.

Mr.Ravi Bhansari, for the petitioner.
Mr.Ashok Upadhyaya, P.P. for the State.
Mr.D.K.Parihar, for the complainant.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant. Perused the order impugned.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is uncle-in-law of the complainant and nothing has been recovered from the petitioner.

Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail application and contends that there is allegation against the present petitioner and some of the dowry articles are yet to be recovered.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the serious allegations specifically

levelled against the present petitioner, I do not find it a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The bail application filed by the petitioner under section 438 Cr.P.C. is, therefore, dismissed.

(H.R.PANWAR),J.

m.asif/-